

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,539	08/19/2003	Silke Wolff	2724	4283	
7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER			
STRIKER STRIKER % STENBY 103 EAST NECK ROAD			BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A		
HUNTINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	1755	
		DATE MAILED, 10/0/ 0006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/643,539	WOLFF ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
·-····	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Elizabeth A. Bolden	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers		÷			
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority L	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/04, 12/22/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,539

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 22 December 2003 and 6 February 2004have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 5, 8, and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is unclear in claims 1, 5, 8, and 11 what is included in the limitation of Σ M₂O. M₂O can include all alkali metal oxides not just Na₂O or K₂O.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Korekawa, Japanese Patent Publication JP 09-278479.

A machine-generated translation of JP 09-278479 accompanies this action. In reciting this rejection, the examiner will cite this translation.

Korekawa discloses a zinc phosphate optical glass composition, which anticipates all the instant, claims 1-4. See example 9 on page 6 of the Japanese patent. Korekawa discloses that the optical glass is used as an optical element or optical product. See paragraph [0002]. Korekawa discloses properties for example 9 which anticipate instant claim 1. See Table on page 7 of the Japanese parent.

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oguma et al., U.S. Patent 5,668,066.

Oguma et al. disclose a zinc phosphate optical glass composition, which anticipates instant, claims 1 and 14. See example 8 in table 8. Korekawa discloses that the optical glass is used as an optical element or optical product. See paragraph [0002].

Since the composition of the reference is the same as those claimed herein it follows that the glasses of Oguma et al. would inherently possess the properties recited in claim 1. See MPEP 2112.

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oguma et al., Japanese Patent Publication JP 11-268927.

A machine-generated translation of JP 11-268927 accompanies this action. In reciting this rejection, the examiner will cite this translation.

Oguma et al. disclose a zinc phosphate optical glass composition, which anticipates instant, claims 1 and 14. See example 4 in table 8. Oguma discloses that the optical glass is used as an optical element or optical product. See paragraph [0002].

Since the composition of the reference is the same as those claimed herein it follows that the glasses of Oguma et al. would inherently possess the properties recited in claim 1. See MPEP 2112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korekawa, Japanese Patent Publication JP 09-278479.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,539

Art Unit: 1755

A machine-generated translation of JP 09-278479 accompanies this action. In reciting this rejection, the examiner will cite this translation.

Korekawa teach a zinc phosphate optical glass composition having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 5-13. See abstract, claim 2, and paragraph [0015]. Korekawa teach an optical glass having overlapping ranges of refractive index, Abbe number, and glass transformation temperature as recited in instant claims 5, 8, and 11. See Abstract, claim1, and paragraph [0014]

Korekawa fails to teach any examples or compositional ranges that are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional and property limitations of claims 5-13. Korekawa does not teach the thermal properties of the glass as recited in claims 9, 10, and 13. However, overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected from the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Oguma et al., U.S. Patent 5,668,066.

Oguma et al. teach a zinc phosphate optical glass composition having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 5-13. See abstract, claim 2, and paragraph [0015]. Oguma et al. teach an optical glass having overlapping ranges of refractive index, Abbe number, and glass Transformation as recited in instant claims 5, 8, and 11. See Abstract, claim1, and paragraph [0014]

Oguma et al. fail to teach any examples or compositional ranges that are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional and property limitations of claims 2-13. See Abstract Tajima does not teach the thermal properties of the glass as recited in claims 9, 10, and 13. However, overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected from the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the

Art Unit: 1755

reference because overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Oguma et al., Japanese Patent Publication JP 11-268927.

A machine-generated translation of JP 11-268927 accompanies this action. In reciting this rejection, the examiner will cite this translation.

Oguma et al. teach a zinc phosphate optical glass composition having overlapping ranges of components with instant claims 2-13. See abstract, claim 2, and paragraph [0015]. Oguma et al. teach an optical glass having overlapping ranges of the glass transformation temperature as recited in instant claims 5, 8, and 11. See Abstract, claim1, and paragraph [0014]

Oguma et al. fail to teach any examples or compositional ranges that are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional and property limitations of claims 2-13. Oguma et al. does not teach the thermal properties of the glass as recited in claims 9, 10, and 13. However, overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected from the overlapping portion of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to establish prima facie obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 571-272-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,539

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

EAB

30 September 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER